

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Jack Starks,

Complainant,

vs.

Cingular Wireless,

Defendant.

ECP

Case No. 05-01-011

Certified Mail

7003 3110 0002 3114 0271

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANSWER AND HEARING NOTICE

Cingular Wireless
Corporation Service Company
2730 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95833

Jack Starks
2271 Riverbed Ct.
Santa Clara, CA 95054

To Defendant and Complainant:

The Defendant is hereby notified that the above-entitled complaint was filed against you as defendant on January 12, 2005. You are directed to answer the complaint in writing within 20 days after today, pursuant to Resolution ALJ-163. The answer shall be in compliance with Rule 13.1 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure." Your answer shall be sent to California Public Utilities Commission, Attn.: Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

HEARING NOTICE

The assigned administrative law judge will schedule a hearing at a later date, unless the matter is otherwise resolved by the parties.

Dated at San Francisco, California this 19th day of January, 2005.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN

By Concepcion Lota

/Angela K. Minkin

Chief Administrative Law Judge

AM/mak

Enclosures: Complaint, Rules 13.1, and Resolution ALJ-163

cc via email only, w/o copy of encls.: ALJ Patrick and Calendar Clerk

13.1. (Rule 13.1) Contents of Answers.

The answer must admit or deny each material allegation in the complaint and shall set forth any new matter constituting a defense. Its purpose is to fully advise the complainant and the Commission of the nature of the defense. It should also set forth any defects in the complaint which require amendment or clarification. Failure to indicate jurisdictional defects does not waive these defects and shall not prevent a motion to dismiss made thereafter.